

**Bangmod** Int. **J. M**ath. **& C**omp. **S**ci. Vol. 4, No. 1-2, 2018; Pages 65 - 73 **http://bangmod-jmcs.kmutt.ac.th/ ISSN : 2408-154X**

# **Ricci solitons and gradient Ricci solitons in Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds**

#### **Kiran Kumar D. L. and Nagaraja H. G.\***

*Department of Mathematics, Bangalore University, Jnana Bharathi Campus, Bengaluru – 560 056, INDIA E-mails: kirankumar250791@gmail.com; hgnraj@yahoo.com*

#### *\*Corresponding author.*

**Abstract** The object of the present paper is to study the Ricci solitons and gradient Ricci solitons in Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds. We found the condition of Ricci solitons and gradient Ricci solitons on Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds to be shrinking.

#### **MSC:** 53D10, 53D15.

**Keywords:** Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds, second order parallel tensor, Ricci solitons, gradient Ricci solitons, shrinking, expanding, steady.

Submission date: 29 March 2018 / Acceptance date: 26 December 2018 /Available online 31 December 2018

Co[py](#page-7-0)right 2015  $\odot$  Theoretical a[nd](#page-7-1) Computational Science.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pseudo-Riemannian metrics in contact manifolds were studied first by Takahashi [14] and later by Duggal  $[6]$ , Bejancu and duggal  $[3]$ , Calvaruso and Perrone  $[5]$  and others. Several a[ut](#page-7-2)h[ors](#page-7-3) studied different contact structures on manifolds with Lorentzian metric, for example Lorentzian *α*-Sasakian [1] [16], Lorentzian *β*-Kenmotsu [2] [12], Lorentzian para-Sasakian [8] [11] and Lorentzian trans-Sasakian [13] [7] [4] manifolds.

In 1982, Hamilton  $[9]$  introduced the notion of Ricci flow to find a canonical metric on a smooth manifold. Then Ricci flow has become a powerful tool for the study of Riemannian manifolds. Shrinking Ricci solitons correspond naturally to shrinking self similar solutions to the Ricci flow. The classification of these Ricci solitons in two or three dimensions is given in  $[9]$  [10]. Extension of this classification to four and higher dimensions help us to understand the behaviour of solutions to the Ricci flow equation.

In this paper we give the characterisation of shrinking Ricci solitons in Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds. After presenting basic formulae for Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds in section 2, shrinking Ricci solitons in Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds have

*<sup>⃝</sup>*c 2018 By TaCS Center, All rights reserve.



Published by **T**heoretical **a**nd **C**omputational **S**cience Center **(TaCS)**, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi **(KMUTT)**

been characterised with respect to second order parallel tensor in section 3. The shrinking gradient Ricci solitons in three dimensional Lorentzian trans sasakian manifolds are considered in section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

<span id="page-1-0"></span>A  $(2n + 1)$  dimensional differentiable manifold M is said to be a Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold if it admits a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $φ$ , a structure tensor field  $ξ$ , a 1-form *η* and the Lorentzian metric *g* which satisify

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \ \phi\xi = 0, \ g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), \ \eta(\xi) = -1, \eta(\phi X) = 0,\tag{2.1}
$$

$$
g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),\tag{2.2}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X), \tag{2.3}
$$

for all vector fields *X* and *Y* on *M*, where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are some scalar functions and such a structure is said to be the Lorentzian trans-Sasakian structure of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . We note that Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold of type  $(0,0)$ ,  $(0,\beta)$ ,  $(\alpha,0)$  are the Lorentzian cosympletic, Lorentzian *β*-Kenmotsu and Lorentzian *α*- Sasakian manifolds respectively. In particular if  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$ , then Lorentzian trans -Sasakian manifold reduces to Lorentzian Sasakian and Lore[nt](#page-7-4)zi[an](#page-7-5) Kenmotsu manifolds respectively. From (2.3), it follows that

$$
\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha(\phi X) - \beta(X + \eta(X)\xi),\tag{2.4}
$$

$$
(\nabla_X \eta)Y = \alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \beta g(\phi X, \phi Y), \qquad (2.5)
$$

where *∇* denotes the Riemannian connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric *g*. In a Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold [7] [13], we have

$$
R(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\} + 2\alpha\beta\{\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y\} + (Y\alpha)\phi X - (X\alpha)\phi Y + (Y\beta)\phi^2 X - (X\beta)\phi^2 Y,
$$
\n(2.6)

$$
S(X,\xi) = \{2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \xi\beta\}\eta(X) + (2n - 1)(X\beta) - (\phi X)\alpha + \{2\alpha\beta\eta(X) + X\alpha\}\psi,
$$
\n(2.7)

$$
Q\xi = \{2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \xi\beta\}\xi + (2n - 1)grad\beta - \phi(grad\alpha) + \{2\alpha\beta\xi + grad\alpha\}\psi,
$$
\n(2.8)

$$
2\alpha\beta - \xi\alpha = 0,\tag{2.9}
$$

for any vector field *X, Y, Z* on *M*, where *R* is the Riemannian curvature tensor of type (0*,* 3), *S* is Ricci curvature tensor of type (1*,* 1) and *Q* is Ricci operator given by

$$
S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y) \quad and \quad \psi = g(\phi e_i, e_i). \tag{2.10}
$$

Further in a Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  [7], we have

$$
\phi(grad\alpha) = (2n - 1)grad\beta.
$$
\n(2.11)



<span id="page-2-5"></span>For constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the equations  $(2.6)$  -  $(2.8)$  reduce to

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
R(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\},\tag{2.12}
$$

$$
S(X,\xi) = 2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\eta(X),
$$
\n(2.13)

$$
Q\xi = 2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\xi.
$$
\n(2.14)

An important consequence of (2.4) is that  $\xi$  is a geodesic vector field. i.e.  $\nabla_{\xi} \xi = 0$ . Then for any arbitrary vector field *X*, we have that  $d\eta(\xi, X) = 0$ . The *ξ*-sectional curvature  $K(\xi, X)$  of a Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold for a unit vector field X orthogonal to *ξ* is given by,

$$
K(\xi, X) = g(R(\xi, X)\xi, X) = \alpha^2 + \beta^2.
$$
\n(2.15)

It follows that *ξ*-sectional curvature does not depend on *X*. If  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 0$ , then the manifold is of vanishing *ξ*-sectional curvature. Throughout this paper we assume that *α* and  $\beta$  are constants.

In a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ ,  $g$  is called a Ricci soliton if

$$
(L_V g)(X, Y) + 2S(X, Y) + 2\lambda g(X, Y) = 0,
$$
\n(2.16)

where  $L_V$  is the Lie derivative along *V*, *S* is the Ricci tensor and  $\lambda$  is a constant. A Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking or steady or expanding according as  $\lambda$  is negative, zero or positive respectively. If the vector field  $V$  is gradient of some smooth function  $f$  on  $M$ , then  $g$  is called a gradient Ricci soliton and equation  $(2.16)$  assumes the form

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\nabla \nabla f = S + \lambda g. \tag{2.17}
$$

# 3. Second order parallel tensor and Ricci solitons in Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold

**Definition.** A tensor *h* of second order is said to be a parallel tensor if  $\nabla h = 0$ , where *∇* denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor *g*.

Let *h* be a  $(0, 2)$ -type symmetric tensor field on a Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold *M* with non-vanishing *ξ*-sectional cu[rva](#page-2-0)ture such that *∇h* = 0. Then it follows that

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\nabla^2 h(X, Y; Z, W) - \nabla^2 h(X, Y; W, Z) = 0.
$$
\n(3.1)

From ([3.1\),](#page-2-1) we [obta](#page-2-2)in the relation

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
h(R(X,Y)Z,W) - h(R(X,Y)W,Z) = 0,
$$
\n(3.2)

for arbitrary vector fi[elds](#page-2-3) *X, Y, Z* on *M*.

Substitution of 
$$
X = Z = W = \xi
$$
 in (3.2) gives us

$$
h(\xi, R(\xi, Y)\xi) = 0. \tag{3.3}
$$

Using  $(2.12)$  in  $(3.3)$ , we get

$$
(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \{ \eta(Y)h(\xi, \xi) + h(\xi, Y) \} = 0.
$$
\n(3.4)

Since  $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0$ , (3.4) reduces to

$$
h(\xi, Y) + \eta(Y)h(\xi, \xi) = 0.
$$
\n(3.5)



Taking the [cova](#page-3-0)riant differentiati[on](#page-3-1) of  $(3.5)$  with respect to X, we get

<span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
h(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + h(\xi, \nabla_X Y) + g(\nabla_X Y, \xi)h(\xi, \xi) + g(Y, \nabla_X \xi)h(\xi, \xi)
$$
  
+ 2g(Y, \xi)h(\nabla\_X \xi, \xi) = 0. (3.6)

Replacing *Y* by  $\nabla_X Y$  in (3.5), we obtain

$$
h(\xi, \nabla_X Y) + g(\nabla_X Y, \xi)h(\xi, \xi) = 0.
$$
\n(3.7)

In view of  $(3.7)$ , it follows from  $(3.6)$  that

$$
h(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + g(Y, \nabla_X \xi)h(\xi, \xi) + 2g(Y, \xi)h(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) = 0.
$$
\n(3.8)

Using  $(2.4)$  in  $(3.8)$ , we get

$$
\{\beta g(X,Y) - \alpha g(\phi X,Y)\}h(\xi,\xi) + \{\beta h(X,Y) + \alpha h(\phi X,Y)\} = 0.
$$
 (3.9)

Replacing *X* by  $\phi X$  in (3.9) and then using (2.1), we obtain

$$
(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \{ h(X, Y) + g(X, Y)h(\xi, \xi) \} = 0.
$$
\n(3.10)

This implies

$$
h(X,Y) = -g(X,Y)h(\xi,\xi).
$$
\n(3.11)

Thus we state the following:

**Theorem 1.** *A symmetric parallel second order covariant tensor in a Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold of non-vanishing ξ-sectional curvature is a constant multiple of the metric tensor.*

As an immediate corollary of theorem 3*.*1 we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** *A locally Ricci symmetric* (*∇S* = 0*) Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold of non-vanishing ξ-sectional curvature is an Einstein manifold.*

A straightforward c[ompu](#page-3-4)tation gives

<span id="page-3-6"></span><span id="page-3-5"></span><span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
(L_{\xi}g)(X,Y) = -2\beta g(\phi X, \phi Y). \tag{3.12}
$$

The metric *g* is called *η*-Einstei[n if t](#page-3-4)here exists two re[al f](#page-1-2)unctio[ns](#page-2-5) *a* and *b* such that the Ricci tensor *S* of *g* is given by

$$
S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{3.13}
$$

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_{2n+1}\}$  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_{2n+1}\}$  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_{2n+1}\}$  be a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in *M*. Then by taking  $X = Y = e_i$  in (3.13) and summing up with respect to *i*, we obtain

$$
r = (2n + 1)a - b.\t\t(3.14)
$$

Again by taking  $X = Y = \xi$  in (3.13) and then using (2.1) and (2.13), we get

$$
-2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) = -a + b. \tag{3.15}
$$

From  $(3.14)$  and  $(3.15)$ , we obtain

$$
a = \frac{r}{2n} - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \qquad b = \frac{r}{2n} - (2n+1)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2). \tag{3.16}
$$

Substituting the values of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $(3.13)$ , we get

$$
S(X,Y) = \{\frac{r}{2n} - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\}g(X,Y) + \{\frac{r}{2n} - (2n+1)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\}\eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{3.17}
$$



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Suppose

<span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
h(X,Y) = (L_{\xi}g)(X,Y) + 2S(X,Y). \tag{3.18}
$$

Using  $(3.12)$  and  $(3.17)$  in  $(3.18)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
h(X,Y) = \left\{ \frac{r}{n} - 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 2\beta \right\} g(X,Y) + \left\{ \frac{r}{n} - 2(2n+1)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 2\beta \right\} \eta(X)\eta(Y).
$$
 (3.19)

Taking  $X = Y = \xi$  in ([3.19\)](#page-4-2), we get

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
h(\xi, \xi) = -4n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2). \tag{3.20}
$$

If  $(g, \xi, \lambda)$  is [a Ric](#page-4-3)ci soli[ton o](#page-4-4)n a Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold M, then from (2.16) and  $(3.18)$ , we have

$$
h(X,Y) = -2\lambda g(X,Y). \tag{3.21}
$$

Setting  $X = Y = \xi$  in (3.21), we get

$$
h(\xi, \xi) = 2\lambda. \tag{3.22}
$$

Hence from  $(3.20)$  and  $(3.22)$ , we have

$$
\lambda = -2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2). \tag{3.23}
$$

Thus we state th[e](#page-7-6) following:

**Theorem 1.** *If the tensor field Lξg*+2*S on a Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold with non-vanishing ξ-sectional curvature is parallel, then the Ricci soliton* (*g, ξ, λ*) *is shrinking.*

As particular cases, we state the following:

**Corollary 3.2.** [1] If the tensor field  $L_{\xi}g + 2S$  *on a Lorentzian*  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold with  $\alpha \neq 0$  *[is](#page-7-7) parallel, then the Ricci soliton*  $(g, \xi, \lambda)$  *is shrinking.* 

**Corollary 3.3.** *If the tensor field*  $L_{\xi}g + 2S$  *on a Lorentzian*  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with  $\beta \neq 0$  *is parallel, then the Ricci soliton*  $(g, \xi, \lambda)$  *is shrinking.* 

# 4. Gradient Ricci solitons in Lorentzian trans-Sasakian mani-**FOLD**

In [15] De et al. studied gradient Ricci soliton in tran-Sasakian manifold and proved that the manifold is Einstein. In this section we prove that gradient Ricci soliton in Lorentzian trans-Sasak[ian](#page-4-5) manifold is [shrin](#page-2-5)king.

Let us consider  $(M, g)$  to be a three dimensional Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold with non-vanishing *ξ*-sectional curvature. Then we have

<span id="page-4-6"></span><span id="page-4-5"></span>
$$
R(X,Y)Z = g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY + S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y - \frac{r}{2}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].
$$
\n(4.1)

Setting  $Y = Z = \xi$  in (4.1) and using (2.13) and (2.14), we get

$$
QX = \left\{ \frac{r}{2} - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \right\} X + \left\{ \frac{r}{2} - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \right\} \eta(X) \xi. \tag{4.2}
$$



Suppose  $g$  is a gradient Ricci soliton, then  $(2.17)$  can be written as

<span id="page-5-8"></span><span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\nabla_Y Df = QY + \lambda Y,\tag{4.3}
$$

for all vecto[r fie](#page-1-1)lds *Y* on *M*, where *D* denotes the gradient operator of *g*. From (4.3) it follows that

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
R(X,Y)Df = (\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X.
$$
\n(4.4)

This implies

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
g(R(\xi, Y)Df, \xi) = g((\nabla_{\xi}Q)Y, \xi) - g((\nabla_{Y}Q)\xi, \xi).
$$
\n(4.5)

Now using  $(2.4)$ , we h[ave](#page-5-0)

$$
g((\nabla_Y Q)\xi, \xi) = g(\nabla_Y Q\xi, \xi) - g(Q(\nabla_Y \xi), \xi) = 0.
$$
\n(4.6)

Differentiating  $(4.2)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
(\nabla_{W} Q)(X) = \frac{dr(W)}{2} \{X + \eta(X)\xi\} + (\frac{r}{2} - 3(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}))
$$
  

$$
\{-\alpha g(\phi W, X) - \beta(g(W, X) + \eta(X)\eta(W) + \eta(X)\nabla_{W}\xi\}.
$$
 (4.7)

Replaci[ng](#page-5-3) *W* by  $\xi$  in (4.7), we get

<span id="page-5-6"></span><span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
(\nabla_{\xi}Q)(X) = \frac{dr(\xi)}{2} \{X + \eta(X)\xi\}.
$$
\n(4.8)

From  $(4.6)$  and  $(4.8)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-5-7"></span>
$$
g((\nabla_{\xi}Q)Y,\xi) - g((\nabla_{Y}Q)\xi,\xi) = 0.
$$
\n(4.9)

Using  $(4.9)$  in  $(4.5)$ , we get

<span id="page-5-9"></span>
$$
g(R(\xi, Y)Df, \xi) = 0.\tag{4.10}
$$

In view of  $(2.12)$ , we have

$$
g(R(\xi, Y)Df, \xi) = -(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \{ g(Y, Df) + \eta(Y)\eta(Df) \}.
$$
\n(4.11)

Hence from  $(4.10)$  and  $(4.11)$ , it follows that

<span id="page-5-11"></span>
$$
Df = -(\xi f)\xi, \qquad \text{since } (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0. \tag{4.12}
$$

Using  $(4.12)$  in  $(4.3)$ , we get

<span id="page-5-10"></span>
$$
S(X,Y) + \lambda g(X,Y) = g(\nabla_X Df, Y) = -g(\nabla_X (\xi f)\xi, Y)
$$
  
=  $\alpha(\xi f)g(\phi X, Y) + \beta(\xi f)g(X, Y) + \beta(\xi f)\eta(X)\eta(Y)$  (4.13)  
 $- X(\xi f)\eta(Y).$ 

Setting  $Y = \xi$  $Y = \xi$  $Y = \xi$  in (4.[13\),](#page-5-10) we get

$$
X(\xi f) = \{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \lambda\}\eta(X). \tag{4.14}
$$

Interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $(4.13)$ , we obtain

$$
S(X,Y) + \lambda g(X,Y) = \alpha(\xi f)g(X,\phi Y) + \beta(\xi f)g(Y,X) + \beta(\xi f)\eta(Y)\eta(X) -Y(\xi f)\eta(X).
$$
\n(4.15)

Adding  $(4.13)$  and  $(4.15)$ , we get

$$
2S(X,Y) + 2\lambda g(X,Y) = 2\beta(\xi f)g(X,Y) + 2\beta(\xi f)\eta(X)\eta(Y) - Y(\xi f)\eta(X) - X(\xi f)\eta(Y).
$$
\n(4.16)



From  $(4.16)$  and  $(4.14)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
S(X,Y) + \lambda g(X,Y) = \beta(\xi f) \{g(X,Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\} - \{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \lambda\} \eta(X)\eta(Y).
$$
 (4.17)

Then using  $(4.3)$  and  $(4.17)$ , we get

$$
\nabla_Y Df = \beta(\xi f)\{Y + \eta(Y)\xi\} - \{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \lambda\}\eta(Y)\xi.
$$
 (4.18)

Using  $(4.18)$ , we compute  $R(X, Y)Df$  and obtain

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
R(X,Y)Df = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Df - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Df - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Df
$$
  
\n
$$
= \beta X(\xi f)Y - \beta Y(\xi f)X + \beta X(\xi f)\eta(Y)\xi - \beta Y(\xi f)\eta(X)\xi
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (\beta(\xi f) - 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \lambda)\{(\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\xi - (\nabla_Y \eta)(X)\xi\}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (\beta(\xi f) - 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \lambda)\{\eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi - \eta(X)\nabla_Y \xi\}. \tag{4.19}
$$

Contracting the above with *ξ*, we get

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
g(R(X,Y)Df,\xi) = 2\alpha \{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \lambda - \beta(\xi f)\}g(X,\phi Y) = 0.
$$
\n(4.20)

Thus we have  $2\alpha \{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \lambda - \beta(\xi f)\} = 0.$ If  $α = 0$ , then the manifold reduces to a Lorentzian  $β$ -Kenmotsu manifold. If  $2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \lambda - \beta(\xi f) = 0$ , then from (4.14), we get

$$
X(\xi f) = \beta(\xi f)\eta(X). \tag{4.21}
$$

By substituting  $(4.21)$  in  $(4.17)$ , we obtain

$$
S(X,Y) + \lambda g(X,Y) = \beta(\xi f)g(X,Y). \tag{4.22}
$$

On contracting (4.22), we get

$$
(\xi f) = \frac{r}{3\beta} + \frac{\lambda}{\beta}.\tag{4.23}
$$

If r is a constant, then  $(\xi f)$  = constant. So from (4.12), we have  $Df = c\xi$ , where c is a constant. Thus we can write from this equation  $df = c\eta$  and its exterior derivative implies that  $cd\eta = 0$ . Since  $d\eta \neq 0$ , we have  $c = 0$  and hence  $Df = 0$ . This implies that f is a constant.

Consequently, equation (4.3) reduces to

$$
S(X,Y) = 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)g(X,Y).
$$
\n(4.24)

This means that *M* is an Einstein manifold and  $\lambda = -2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)$ . i.e. *g* is shrinking. Thus we have the following:

**Theorem 1.** *If a three dimensional Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold with nonvanishing ξ-sectional curvature admits a gradient Ricci soliton, then the manifold is either a Lorentzian β-Kenmotsu manifold or an Einstein manifold provided α and β are constants and the Ricci soliton is shrinking.*



### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[1] C. S. Bagewadi and G. Ingalahalli, *Ricci solitons in Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds*, Acta mathematica academiae paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis, **28**(1) (2012), 59–68.
- [2] N. S. Basavarajappa, C. S. Bagewadi and D. G. Prakasha, *Some results on Lorentzian β-Kenmotsu manifolds*, Annals of the University of Craiova-Mathematics and Computer Science Series, **35** (2008), 7–14.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[3] A. Bejancu and K. L. Duggal, *Real hypersurfaces of indefinite Kaehler manifolds,* International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, **16** (3) (1993), 545–556.
- [4] S. M. Bhati, *On Weakly Ricci ϕ-symmetric δ-Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Bull. of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **5** (1) (2013), 36–43.
- [5] G. Calvaruso and D. Perrone, *Contact pseudo-metric manifolds,* Differential Geometry and its Applications, **28** (5) (2010), 615–634.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[6] K. L. Duggal, *Lorentzian geometry of CR submanifolds,* Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, **17** (2) (1989), 171–193.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[7] U. C. De and K. De, *On Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Communications Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics, **62** (2) (2013), 37–51.
- [8] U. C. De, K. Matsumoto and A. A. Shaikh, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds,* Rendiconti del Seminario Mat. de Messina, al, **3** (1999), 149–158.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[9] R. S. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces,* Contemp. Math., **71** (1) (1988), 237–261.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[10] T. Ivey, *Ricci solitons on compact three-manifolds,* Diff. Geom. Appl., **3** (1993), 301–307.
- [11] I. Mihai, A. A. Shaikh and U. C. De, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds,* Korean J. Math. Sci., **6** (1999), 1–3.
- [12] D. G. Prakasha, C. S. Bagewadi and N. S. Basavarajappa, *On Lorentzian β-Kenmotsu manifolds,* Int. J. Math. Anal., **17** (2008), 919–927.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[13] S. S. Pujar and V. J. Khairnar, *On Lorentzian trans-Sasakian manifold,* Int.J.of Ultra Sciences of Physical Sciences, **23** (1) (2011), 53–66.
- [14] T. Takahashi, *Sasakian manifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric,* Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series, **21** (2) (1969), 271–290.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[15] M. Turan, U. C. De and A. Yildiz, *Ricci solitons and gradient Ricci solitons on 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds,* Filomat, **26** (2) (2012), 363–370.
- [16] A. Yildiz and C. Murathan,*On Lorentzian α-Sasakian Manifolds,* Kyungpook mathematical journal, **45** (1) (2005), 95–107.

**Bangmod** International **J**ournal of **M**athematical **C**omputational **S**cience ISSN: 2408-154X **Bangmod-JMCS** Online @ http://bangmod-jmcs.kmutt.ac.th/ Copyright  $\odot$ 2018 By **TaCS** Center, All rights reserve.



## **Journal office:**

Theoretical and Computational Science Center (TaCS) Science Laboratory Building, Faculty of Science King Mongkuts University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT) 126 Pracha Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok, Thailand 10140 Website: http://tacs.kmutt.ac.th/ Email: tacs@kmutt.ac.th